ENERGY MATERIALS TOWN MEETING, 24TH NOVEMBER 2006

FOSSIL FUEL POWER PLANT MATERIALS -BREAKOUT SESSION

Chairman:- Derek Allen

Process

The following issues 4 questions were discussed relating to Fossil power plant materials:-

- 1 KEY DRIVERS? (3)
- 2 R & D CHALLENGES?(1)
- 3 BARRIERS? (3)
- 4 RECOMMENDATIONS? (1)

Individuals were asked to submit a number of answers (shown in brackets) which indicated their key points for each question.

The answers were then divided into 4 generic 'categories', shown on the following pages and the answers grouped under the most relevant category. (or across categories)

The Group was then asked to prioritise 1 category of the 4 which they felt was the no. 1 priority for questions 1-3. The results of the 'vote' are shown by the numbers in brackets next to the headings on the following sheets and highlighted in **green**.

For question 4 (Recommendations) they were asked to select a single answer they wanted to prioritise.

The output of the breakout session is summarised in the following pages and the priority areas highlighted in green.

Volunteers for the core working group and advisory group are also highlighted.

SUMMARY KEY MESSAGES/PRIORITIES

Drivers

Environment is seen as key driver, this includes efficiency related issues that will lead to reduced emissions

R&D

Materials development (including materials for aggressive environments) were seen as where the most R&D would be required

Barriers

It was unanimous that the key barriers were related to funding/policy, including a lack of long term strategy, funding policy

Recommendations

These again related to the need for a long term strategy and policy to support Materials technology in the area of Energy

DRIVERS

COST No votes(1) - ownership - disposal - cost/economy - cost saving in material production - energy efficiency	 SEC. OF SUPPLY No votes(2) supply/demand to ensure security of supply energy security modular (localised) power del. Alternate fuels New high temp materials for extended life, operation and efficiency Security of fuel supply Applications for which there are no alternatives to fossil - ring-fence Drivers: eminent, cast, safety
ENVIRONMENT No. votes(10) - Efficiency - Environment - Climate change - Co2 reduction - Environmental, useage & recycling - Pollution	OTHER No votes (0) - Plant problems - generator capacity

R & D CHALLENGES



BARRIERS

	FUNDING/POLICY	RESOURCES
	No votes (14)	(skills & equipment)
-	no content strategy or continuity	No votes (0)
-	funding per se and continuity of	
	funding	- Educational priorities (skills)
-	lack of continuity of funding and	 Lack of skilled scientists/engineers
	escalation of UK costs	- Ensuring a trained work force for the
-	fragmented funding	future/people to carry out the research
-	reduced UK supply base and overseas	- Decreasing skills base
	export control	- Lack of good fest data
-	fragmentation of research base	- Skills/resource shortage
-	(closure of LIK contros of expertise)	- Lack of resources, new grads,
_	more advanced technologies &	- Skills shortage in trained personnel
	funding	training opportunities
_	lack of funding/investment	naming opportonition
_	EC funding for energy materials	
-	Motivation - is there real political will	
	to tackle climate change?	
-	Government policy	
-	Needs overview of usage and setting	
	priorition	
	priorities	
	TECHNICAL	
	TECHNICAL	OTHER
	TECHNICAL No votes (0)	OTHER No votes (0)
	TECHNICAL No votes (0)	OTHER No votes (0)
_	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour Don't understand all degradation	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0)Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour Don't understand all degradation mechanisms for plant conditions	OTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour Don't understand all degradation mechanisms for plant conditions	OTHER No votes (0) Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia Lack of collaboration Sharing information between competitive companies
	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour Don't understand all degradation mechanisms for plant conditions	DTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour Don't understand all degradation mechanisms for plant conditions	DTHER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies
-	TECHNICAL No votes (0) Public information to take on board new fuels/new patterns of behaviour Lack of fundamental understanding of material props Lifing methods for new and used materials Technology limitations Time and cost required to validate long term material behaviour Don't understand all degradation mechanisms for plant conditions	DEFINER No votes (0) - Half-hearted collaboration between industry and academia - Lack of collaboration - Sharing information between competitive companies

RECOMMENDATIONS

R&D RELATED	POLICY/REGULATION
 New materials Rolling long term programmes for development of improved materials DTI can lead R&D with industry led projects focused small teams, less bureaucracy, no phoney innovation 	 Need strategy so know what we're aiming at (1 vote) Consideration of energy use with respect to where/how we work and live (1 vote) Development of an environment where work on fossil fuel power is seen to be PC Collaboration and significant funding (1 vote) More consistent commitment to materials support development and usage focus more educational funding on physical sciences education, from schools to post graduate research and promote image of materials industry Long term stable integrated funding to support R&D for energy materials / technology/ Long term funding made available for energy related materials concerns Long term funding policy linked to coherent strategy covering R&D, tech transfer & skills (7votes)
 RESOURCES Ensure continuity of research funding to maintain and build up expertise (1vote) Integrated, sustainable high quality UK university base that generates materials graduates 	OTHER (0)

VOLUNTEERS

Core Team

Colin Small Mark Gee Tony Fry Rachel Thomson John Wells Peter Morris Jim Hannigan Jeff Banks

Advisory Team

Bill Lee Phil Whateley David Allen Michael Lynch University of Notts